Steven J. Stein (SS-4578) Lawrence J. Reina (LR-7327) Casey D. Laffey (CL-1483) **REED SMITH LLP** 599 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022 Tel. (212) 521-5400 Fax. (212) 521-5450

Attorneys for Defendant Petsaro Chemicals AG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK SOYSAY SHIPPING CO., **ECF Case** Plaintiff, 07 Civ. 7469 (JGK) - against -ASTYA INVESTMENTS CO. LTD., and, **DECLARATION OF** PETSARO CHEMICALS AG, CASEY D. LAFFEY Defendants.

I, CASEY D. LAFFEY, hereby declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the following is true and correct:

Introduction

- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm Reed Smith LLP, attorneys for defendant Petsaro Chemicals AG ("Petsaro"). I submit this Declaration in support of Petsaro's emergency motion, pursuant to Rule E of the Supplemental Rules of Civil Procedure for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, to vacate this Court's August 23, 2007 Order of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment (the "Order of Attachment").
- 2. As set forth fully below, the Order of Attachment should be vacated because the parties and contracts at issue have no relationship to the State of New York.

To the contrary, the parties to this action previously agreed to arbitrate future disputes in London. See Complaint, Exh. 1 ("GA/Arb Ldn, Eng. Law, Y/A 74/90"). A copy of the Complaint is annexed hereto as Exhibit A.

3. It cannot be disputed that the parties agreed at the outset of their business dealings that London is the most convenient forum to resolve any future disputes. Accordingly, plaintiff's attempt to use the New York courts to obtain an attachment that it could otherwise seek in London is an undue and unwarranted imposition on the judicial resources of the United States in general and this Court in particular.

Plaintiff's Complaint and the Order of Attachment

- 4. Plaintiff commenced this action on or about August 23, 2007 by filing a Verified Complaint (the "Complaint") against Astya Investments Co. Ltd. ("Astya") and Petsaro, along with a motion for an Order of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment under Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (the "Supplemental Rules"). See Exh. A.
- 5. Pursuant to Rule B(1) of the Supplemental Rules, on August 23, 2007, this Court issued an ex parte Order directing the Clerk to issue process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment, permitting the attachment and seizure of:

all tangible and intangible property of the Defendants, as described therein, including but not limited to any property of the Defendants (collectively hereinafter "ASSETS"), as may be held, received or transferred in their own names or for their benefit at, moving through, or within the possession, custody or control of banking institutions and/or other institutions or such other garnishee(s) on whom a copy of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment may be served, in the amount of \$334,428.56....

A copy of the Order of Attachment is annexed hereto as Exhibit B.

¹ This clause is English shorthand for, "General Average and Arbitration to be decided in London with English Law and York Antwerp Rules 1974/1990 to apply".

- 6. As a result of the Order of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment, on September 28, 2007, plaintiff attached approximately \$76,050.00 of funds being transferred through a Bank of New York account to a third party, transfers that have no relationship to this action.
- 7. The Complaint alleges damages of \$334,428.56 attributable to an alleged breach of an agreement between plaintiff and defendant Astya Investments Co. Ltd. ("Astya") to charter "M/T HALKI", an ocean going tank vessel for the shipment of chemicals overseas (the "Charter Agreement"). Complaint ¶ 5.
- 8. Plaintiff does not seek to litigate its contract claims in this Court, as plaintiff concedes that the parties to this action have already agreed to submit their claims to arbitration in London. Id. ¶ 15. Rather, plaintiff seeks to attach assets of the defendants located in New York, to be used to satisfy any eventual recovery in the arbitration. Id. ¶ 15-17. However, no such arbitration has yet been commenced.

The Order of Attachment Should be Vacated

- 9. As set forth fully in the accompanying Memorandum of Law, the Order of Attachment should be vacated as the parties and claims herein have no relation to the State of New York and the Second Circuit Court of Appeals has held that in a situation like the one at hand where the parties are subject to in personam jurisdiction in another, more convenient forum, an Order of Attachment in New York is improper.
- 10. Specifically, as alleged in the Complaint, the parties to this action are foreign entities and the charter agreement and bill of lading at issue were executed overseas and provided for the shipment of goods overseas. Id. ¶¶ 2-8.
 - 11. More importantly, the charter agreement contains a dispute resolution

arbitration clause providing that the parties agree to resolve any and all claims arising out of the agreement in arbitration in London pursuant to English Law. See id. ¶¶ 8, 15; Exh. 1, at p. 2.

- 12. Although the parties have all agreed that London is the proper and most convenient forum to hear all disputes related to their transaction, plaintiff has yet to take a single step to initiate an arbitration case in London, or, to the best of Petsaro's knowledge, in any other jurisdiction where personal jurisdiction may lie over the defendants.
- 13. For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff should not be allowed to burden the New York courts with a petition for attachment that could have been obtained in London. As such, the Order of Attachment should be vacated.

Executed:

New York, New York October 12, 2007

Exhibit A

328-07/PJG/BGC
FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
SOYSAY SHIPPING CO.
80 Pine Street
New York, NY 10005

Telephone: (212) 425-1900 / Facsimile: (212) 425-1901

Peter J. Gutowski (PG 2200) Barbara G. Carnevale (BC 1651) AUG Z H SOOD U.S.D.C. S.D. N.Y. CASHIERS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SOYSAY SHIPPING CO.,

Plaintiff,

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

-against-

ASTYA INVESTMENTS CO. LTD., and PETSARO CHEMICALS AG,

Defendan	ts.	
		v

Plaintiff SOYSAY SHIPPING CO. ("SOYSAY"), by its attorneys Freehill Hogan & Mahar LLP, as and for its Verified Complaint against the named Defendants identified in the caption, alleges upon information and belief as follows:

1. This is an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in that it involves a claim for the breach of a maritime contract of affreightment and a bill of lading relating to the ocean transport of cargo. This case also falls under this Court's admiralty and maritime jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1333 and the Court's federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331. Federal jurisdiction also exists because the action arises under the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards at 9 U.S.C. §201 et seq. and/or the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §1 et seq.

- 2. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff SOYSAY was and still is a corporate entity organized and existing under the laws of a foreign country with an address in care of SOYSAY Denizcilik Nakliyat ve Ticaret A.S., Sahrayicedid Inonu Caddesi, Aydogan Apartment No. 12, Daire 19-20, Erenkoy, 81080 Istanbul, Turkey and the owner of the M/T HALKI an ocean going tank vessel.
- 3. At all times material hereto, Defendant ASTYA INVESTMENTS CO. LTD. (hereinafter "ASTYA") was and still is a foreign business entity duly organized and existing under the laws of the British Virgin Islands with an office and address at 43, Furshtatskaya Str., Apt. 7, 191123, St. Petersburg, Russia and was the charterer of the M/T HALKI.
- 4. At all times material hereto, Defendant PETSARO CHEMICALS AG (hereinafter "PETSARO") was and still is a foreign business entity duly organized and existing under the laws of a foreign country with an office and address at Poststrasse 6, 6300 Zug, Switzerland and was the receiver of a certain cargo of vinyl acetate monomer carried on board the HALKI as described more fully below.
- 5. On or about April 25, 2007, Plaintiff SOYSAY, in the capacity as owner of the M/T HALKI, entered into a maritime contract of affreightment (hereinafter "COA") with the Defendant ASTYA, as charterer, for the shipment of chemicals over two time periods as described in the contract, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1.
- 6. Pursuant to the above mentioned contract of affreightment, the plaintiff SOYSAY duly tendered the M/T HALKI for a shipment from Russia to Turkey to take place in May in 2007, including a shipment of vinyl acetate monomer.

NYDOCS1/288869.1

- 7. The defendant PETSARO was the notify party under the bill of lading issued with respect to the above referenced shipment and subsequently identified as the receiver and the party with title to the cargo.
- 8. The vessel transited from Russia to Turkey and presented the cargo for discharge and delivery pursuant to the terms of the above referenced COA and the bill of lading, the latter of which incorporated the COA together with its law and jurisdiction provisions providing for an application of English Law.
- 9. The defendants failed to arrange for the prompt delivery and receipt of the cargo which failure constituted a breach of both the COA and the bill of lading.
- 10. As a consequence of the breach, the plaintiff SOYSAY suffered damages including but not limited to: (i) losses associated with the delay to the vessel in the sum of \$74,525 computed at the demurrage rate of \$5,500 per day; (ii) extra consumption of marine gas oil in the sum of \$6,639.50; (iii) additional sailing expenses from the original discharge port to the alternative discharge port at a lump sum rate of \$15,000; (iv) losses associated with the cancellation of the vessel's next employment by virtue of the delay in the sum of \$76,250; (v) additional port and agency expenses, Turkish legal counsel and survey fees in the sum of \$12,014.06; (vi) together with anticipated legal fees in connection with this proceeding and the related London Arbitration proceedings (inclusive of arbitration costs and interest) all of which are recoverable under English Law and which are estimated at \$150,000, for a total claim of \$334,428.56.
- 11. The delay was caused, in part, due to allegations of contamination, the responsibility for which is denied by the plaintiff SOYSAY and which should not have delayed the prompt discharge in any event.

NYDOCS1/288869.1

Filed 10/22/2007

- 12. The plaintiff SOYSAY through its P&I Underwriter has already provided security to both ASTYA and PETSARO in the form of a P&I Letter of Undertaking.
- 13. As a consequence of the foregoing, the defendants are fully secured for their alleged claim(s) while the plaintiff vessel owner is unsecured.
- 14. As outlined above, the COA and the bill of lading provide for the application of English Law and all disputes between the parties are to be resolved by arbitration in London, and SOYSAY specifically reserves its right to arbitrate the substantive matters at issue.
- 15. This action is brought to obtain jurisdiction over the defendants and to obtain security in favor of Plaintiff SOYSAY in respect to its claims against defendants and in aid of London arbitration proceedings.

Request for Rule B Relief

- 16. Upon information and belief, and after investigation, defendants cannot be "found" within this District for the purpose of Rule B of the Supplemental Rules of Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, but plaintiff is informed that defendants have, or will shortly have, assets within this District comprising, inter alia, cash, funds, escrow funds, credits, debts, wire transfers, electronic funds transfers, accounts, letters of credit, freights, sub-freights, charter hire and/or sub-charter hire, of, belonging to, due or for the benefit of defendants (collectively hereinafter, "ASSETS"), including but not limited to ASSETS in either of their names to wit: ASTYA INVESTMENTS CO. LTD. and PETSARO CHEMICALS AG at, moving through, or being transferred and/or wired to or from banking institutions or such other garnishees who may be served with a copy of the Process of Attachment issued herein.
- 17. The total amount to be attached pursuant to the calculations set forth above is \$334,428.56.

NYDOCS1/288869.1

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff SOYSAY prays:

That process in due form of law according to the practice of this Court may issue a.

against defendants citing it to appear and answer the foregoing;

b. That if the defendants cannot be found within this District pursuant to

Supplemental Rule B that all tangible or intangible property of the defendants or

either of them up to and including \$334,428.56 be restrained and attached,

including, but not limited to any cash, funds, escrow funds, credits, debts, wire

transfers, electronic funds transfers, accounts, letters of credit, freights, sub-

freights, charter hire and/or sub-charter hire, of, belonging to, due or being

transferred from or for the benefit of the defendants or either of them (collectively

hereinafter, "ASSETS"), including but not limited to such ASSETS as may be

held, received, or transferred in either of their names at, through, or within the

possession, custody or control of such banking institutions and/or any such other

garnishees who may be served with a copy of the Process of Maritime Attachment

and Garnishment issued herein;

That this Court retain jurisdiction over the matter for any further or supplemental C.

proceedings as may be necessary, including but not limited to an Order

compelling arbitration, and the subsequent recognition and enforcement of any

arbitral award(s) and/or judgment entered thereon against the defendants in the

London proceedings; and

d. For such other, further and different relief as this Court may deem just and proper

in the premises.

Dated: New York, New York

August 23, 2007

NYDOCS1/288869.1

FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff SOYSAY SHIPPING CO.

ZMY Deen

Peter J. Gutowski (PG 2200) Barbara G. Carnevale (BG 1651)

80 Pine Street

New York, NY 10005

(212) 425-1900

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

State of New York)
) ss.
County of New York)

Peter J. Gutowski, being duly sworn, deposes and says as follows:

- I am an associate with the law firm of Freehill Hogan & Mahar, LLP, attorneys for Plaintiff in this action, I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint and know the contents thereof, and the same is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.
- 2. The sources of my information and the grounds for my belief are communications, information and documentation provided by our client and/or by solicitors representing our client.
- 3. The reason this verification is made by an attorney and not by the Plaintiff is because the Plaintiff is a foreign entity, none of whose officers are presently within this Judicial District.

Peter I Gutowski

Sworn to before me this 22 day of August 2007

Notary Public

HAZEL S. ROSENTHAL
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01R04641178
Qualified in Queens County
Certified in New York County
Commission Expires Dec. 31, 2010

EX. 1

Segrey Harlamov \
barkonovs@astyn.sp.ku



Astiva investments Co; Lad

Payel Kalugin President

1204 Geneva Flace di Molani S G/O DISA Tel.+7 812 703 32 08(09) Fex.+7 812 327 26 73. Mob.+7 921 962 88 87 e-mail: Kaleginp@bstykisp.iu

- Charterers

Section 1

: Astya Investments Co

- Owners/Hanagers : Soysay Shipping Co.

"Vessel: to be trading with themicals and to have heating ranks. Any substitution is always on Charts final approval.

NT "LIÄR!!

3868 DMT AT 6.23 M SMD, BLT DECEMBER 1982, BANAMARIAN FRAG, GL CLASS, GRYNRT 2960/ 970 BEBUCED GRT 1599, TWO CHENTICAL /OIL TANKER, TWA!
BEAM 91.67/13-3.60 M. 3802 CBM CARGO TANK CARACITY AT 598 (2183 CBM ST/ST +1619 CBM ZINC COATED), If DEEP WELL CARGO FORES, 14 GRADES FULD SEGREGATION, REATING COILS IN ALL TANKS, COL THSPECTED

M/2 "PRO71"

2930 DWT AT 5.34 W DEAET, 2900 CBW FULLY STRINLESS STELL CARGO TANKS, THO 2 CHENTYRE 4 OLD TANKER, GC CLASS, LORABERN S1.307.13.38 W.GRT/NRT 1847/855.

M/T. " ORION "

PANAMANTAN PLAG,IMO 2/3 CHEMICAL/OII TANKER, BIT 1982 GERMANY / DOA / BEAM, 91,50 M / 13.60 M; LENGRT \$4.55 M / MOULDED DEPTH 8.64M, GRT/REDUCED GET/NGT 269071393 / 964, 3856 DWT , AT 6.23. SURVER DRAFE / 2185 CHM ST/ST 1815 CHMING CONTED CARGO TANK CAPACITY, HEATING COILS IN AML CARGO TANKS , 18 GRADE BEGRAGATION , BOW TRUSTERED; G. CLASS , P & I. CHUB: THE SETEOMNERS METUAL PROTECTION AND INDENDITY ASSOCIATION: (LUXEMBURG)

MAN ". AMERICOLE" :

1850 DRT AT 6.01 W DRAFT, F3DO CBM CARGO TANKS (310D CBM ST/ST + 2200 CBM ST/ST + 2200 CBM ST/ST ; MEATING COILS IN ACL TANKS, ZL grades segregation,

ice halied

3400 S.JWT, 3250 WDWT, S. & H S.DRADGHT, J. SS H W.DRADGHT, BLT 1978
SWEDEN, LOA 96.00 M, HEAH M. 00 H, CARGO CREACITY (498) 3151 CBM,
SEST TANKS CARBOTTY 2102: CBM, & WING TANKS CARBO ZINK CARACITY 1050
CBM, GRT 3316, NRT 957
CARGO DUMPS; DEEPHELD PUMPS IN AIR TANKS
CARGO MEANING PROTECTION OF STATULESS STEED HEADING COLLS IN AIR TANKS:

COA-25.04.2007 (*

4

Sept.

rate as specified in this charter party.

- Asbutankvoy c/p
- GAYADO Lon, Eng Law, Y/A 74790
- The Charterers about articipe for samples to up drawn besief and marked in the presence of an philippe of the reseal and one sat of samples to be given to the reseal free of pharge
- Owners will not be liable for any loss of discluding constantly 0.5 per tolerance ellowable loss in the trade
- Owners will not be liable for delays caused by the closure of the
- Freight deemed earned on loading and non hattithable daing end/or shiplost or not
- Vessel to maintain cargo temperature 25/30 dagrees if cargo has been loaded at this temperature.
- Owners to provide Charterers/Agents daily information about Vessells
- NOR to be tendered at Tentifuk roads. (To be kerdiscussed for 2th period). NOR not to be tendered before companyement of lay/days unless with Charts prior agreement.
- Should the vessel strive / Tender a valld NOR at load post after her tenceling date, and canceling date extended, lay/time shall compane to count upon loading or 12 hours efter NOR whichever occurs first.

VELLY DIARRIMENTE CO. 1900

COA-25.04.2007

SOYSAY SECEPTING: CO.

SUXRAY DENESCH

Elly of Harmanian

Charterers are to confirm or decline nomination of the Wassel within I working tay. I days prior to the let day of the agreed hayoun depart to narrow it to 2 days appears.

- Proight:

*USD 29,50pmt for texter base *USD 29,50pmt for textroag/Marks *USD 48,0pmt for adama/Mersin *USD 10.000/00 lumpsum is Markastishin are

- . Freight payable BBB and a wift copy to be provided to Sweets
- Desputação:

 USD 5.000,0000000 for vessels 2,000; 3:000 DRT

 USD 5.500,000000 for vessels 3.000; 4.000 DRT

 USD 7.000,000000 for vessels pore bina.4.000 DRT

if any demirrage occurs to be sattled upon presenting original or supporting does by post mail and payable will mak running days upon reptor supplemental does.

- Aments: Chatte agents at load port (Usually Navigator). Owners agents at disport and at Turkish straits
- Law times total 60 hrs SHING reversible for vessels, up to 25401 hr shipment Potal 66 hrs SHING reversible for vessels 2,401 - 3,006 MT

Total 120 hts shine reversible for vessels more than 4-000 DMT

- Cleaning to Charterers' inspectors' satisfaction
- Conoco weather clause: belays to berthing for loading or discharging and delays after berthing which are due to weather conditions shall count as one half demurrage

COA-25.04.2007

M/T "MEDITERRANEAM STAR!"

.3020 DRT .IMO 2/3 CEEM/OIL TANSER NRT 512,GRU 1533; S.DRAFT 5.453 M, DEETH 6.60 M LENGET OVERGIL 95.78 M , BEAM 22.00 M , TARGO TANK CHPACITY 1900 CBM 5757 W 1924 CBM EINO COAFED TANKS, 12 GRADES SEGREGATION ,12 DEBENELD SVANSHOU SYDROLIC PUMPS , STET HEATING COILS in all cargo tanks, stern line fitted ...

- Cargo:

- 60-65.000mrs per period Chemicals mainly CSS (MUTAC, STAC) VAM, MEG, DEG, STYRENE, DEA, IBA, IPA, METHANOU, MMA, ACRI OF such;
- Min 2400mts up to max 4500mts 5pct moloo on declared quantity chemicals always with / lawful cargoes in accordance with COF and St.St. - coat list, depending on monitored Vessel cargo capacity and stways on sailing diaft at Tempuk Port 4.9 m, berth N 5 and 23
- Periodi
- * 1 2 2arlod: 01.05.2007 / 31.08.2007
- * 2" Period: 01.09.2007 / 31.12.2007 (Second period will be declared latest on 10th of Adquart,
- + Load: oaby Temruk, second pres at required for Charleres account against port / port's agent lavoices and to be settled directly by the Chrises or will be paid to the Owners with freight,
- Dracharys: osq/b rentr bey e/o osp/b tertroag a/o osp/b mersin a/o osp/b ROBNA. Other routes to furkey to be guoted on Chartersis reguest, but rotation will never be:
 - * MARTAS & SOUTH MURKEY,

IZMET BAY + SOUTH TURKEY FOREtion can be as extra per Addengum between. parties if mutually agreed.

- Nomination: In this brior commencement of the law days Charterers to nominate a 3 days arrivel window for load port: Within Z working days Owners are to nominate a vessel with 9 days spread of the Laycan

COA-25.04.2007

Exhibit B

Upon reading and filing the Verified Complaint of the Plaintiff herein, verified on the 2 day of August, 2007, and the Affidavit of Barbara G. Carnevale sworn to on the same day, that to the best of her information and belief the Defendants ASTYA INVESTMENTS CO. LTD. and PETSARO CHEMICALS AG cannot be found within this District for the purpose of an attachment under Supplemental Rule B(1), and applying for an Order Appointing a Special Process Server; and the Court having found that the conditions required by Rule B(1) of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure exist,

NOW, upon motion of Freehill Hogan & Mahar, LLP, attorneys for the Plaintiff, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court is directed forthwith to issue the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment for seizure of all tangible and intangible property of the Defendants, as described therein, including but not limited to any property of the Defendants (collectively hereinafter "ASSETS"), as may be held, received or transferred in their own names or for their benefit at, moving through, or within the possession, custody or control of banking institutions and/or other institutions or such other garnishee(s) on whom a copy of the Process of NYDOCS1/288914.1